

# Lecture Nanophotonics

## Coupled light-matter systems & Nonlinear optics Assignment

Class dates: May 11th and 13th, 2020

Deadline hand-in: May 20th, 2020

Teaching assistants: Zhou Geng (z.geng@amolf.nl) and Kevin Peters (k.peters@amolf.nl)

If you have any questions regarding to the exercise, you can contact the teaching assistants through email. Please hand in your finished assignments through email.

### 1 Coupled harmonic oscillators

Consider the equations of motion of two coupled damped oscillators 1 and 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2x_1}{dt^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{dx_1}{dt} + \omega_1^2 x_1 - \Omega^2 x_2 &= 0 \\ \frac{d^2x_2}{dt^2} + \gamma_2 \frac{dx_2}{dt} + \omega_2^2 x_2 - \Omega^2 x_1 &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

Under certain approximations and assuming solutions of the form:  $x_{1,2} = C_{1,2} e^{-i\omega t}$ , the above system can be mapped into an eigenvalue problem  $|H - \omega I| = 0$  with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 - i\frac{\gamma_1}{2} & -\frac{\kappa}{2} \\ -\frac{\kappa}{2} & \omega_2 - i\frac{\gamma_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}\quad (2)$$

- Show that the eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian, which correspond to the eigenfrequencies of the coupled oscillators, can be expressed as  $\omega_{\pm} = \bar{\omega} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \kappa^2}$ , where  $\bar{\omega} = \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} - i\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{4}$ ,  $\Delta = \omega_1 - \omega_2 - i\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{2}$ ,  $\kappa = \frac{\Omega^2}{\bar{\omega}}$  (assume  $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2 \approx \omega$ ).
- Assuming  $\omega_1 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_1 = 0.01$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 0.02$ , plot  $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  and  $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  as a function of  $\omega_2$  in the range  $\omega_2 \in [0.995, 1.005]$  at three  $\kappa$ :  $\kappa = 0.004, 0.005, 0.006$  (plot  $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  in one figure,  $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  in another figure).
- Assuming  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_1 = 0.01$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 0.02$ , plot  $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  and  $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  as a function of  $\kappa$  in the range  $\kappa \in [0, 0.01]$  (plot  $\text{Re}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  in one figure,  $\text{Im}\{\omega_{\pm}\}$  in another figure). Find the  $\kappa_c$  at which  $\text{Re}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Re}\{\omega_-\}$  and  $\text{Im}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Im}\{\omega_-\}$ .

In non-Hermitian quantum mechanics, the condition where  $\text{Re}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Re}\{\omega_-\}$  and  $\text{Im}\{\omega_+\} = \text{Im}\{\omega_-\}$  is known as the **exceptional point**.

Now consider a driving force  $F e^{-i\omega t}$  acting on oscillator 1. The equations of motion become:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2x_1}{dt^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{dx_1}{dt} + \omega_1^2 x_1 - \Omega^2 x_2 &= F e^{-i\omega t} \\ \frac{d^2x_2}{dt^2} + \gamma_2 \frac{dx_2}{dt} + \omega_2^2 x_2 - \Omega^2 x_1 &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

- Assuming  $x_{1,2} = C_{1,2} e^{-i\omega t}$ , prove the expressions for the complex amplitudes  $C_{1,2}$  are:

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &= \frac{(\omega_2^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_2)F}{(\omega_2^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_2)(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_1) - \Omega^4} \\ C_2 &= \frac{\Omega^2 F}{(\omega_2^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_2)(\omega_1^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_1) - \Omega^4}\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

- The complex amplitude  $C_{1,2}$  can be expressed in terms of its modulus and phase as  $C_{1,2} = |C_{1,2}| e^{i\phi_{1,2}}$ . Assuming  $\omega_1 = 1$ ,  $\omega_2 = 1.05$ ,  $\gamma_1 = 0.01$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 0.001$  and  $\Omega = 0.2$ , make the following plots in the range  $\omega \in [0.9, 1.1]$ :

- $|C_1|$  and  $|C_2|$ ;

- (ii)  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  and  $\phi_1 - \phi_2$ ;

Explain what happens to  $|C_1|$  at  $\omega_2$ , based on the behavior of the phases of the coupled oscillators.

(Hint: think of what interferes with what.)

## 2 Single non-linear oscillator

The field  $\alpha$  of a single-mode nonlinear optical cavity, driven by a monochromatic field of frequency  $\omega$  and amplitude  $F$ , is described by the following equation (assuming  $\hbar = 1$ ):

$$i\dot{\alpha} = \left(\omega_0 - i\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\alpha + U|\alpha|^2\alpha + Fe^{-i\omega t}. \quad (5)$$

Here,  $\omega_0$  is the cavity resonance frequency,  $\gamma/2$  is the energy loss rate, and  $U$  is the photon-photon interaction strength leading to optical nonlinearity. For convenience, let us move to a frame rotating at the driving frequency  $\omega$ , such that Eq.(5) becomes

$$i\dot{\alpha} = \left(-\Delta - i\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\alpha + U|\alpha|^2\alpha + F. \quad (6)$$

where  $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$  is the detuning between the driving field and the cavity resonance. We are interested in the steady-state solution(s) to Eq. 6, given by setting  $\dot{\alpha} = 0$ .

- (a) Show that the steady-state solution satisfies the following equation:

$$|F|^2 = U^2 N^3 - 2\Delta U N^2 + \left(\Delta^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) N, \quad (7)$$

where  $N = |\alpha|^2$  is the steady-state density (number of photons in the cavity).

- (b) Assuming  $\gamma = 0.01$ ,  $U = 0.0075\gamma$ , and  $\omega_0 = 1$ , use Eq. 7 to make a plot of  $N$  vs.  $|F|^2$  in the range  $(|F|/\gamma)^2 \in [23, 30]$  at two different  $\Delta$ : i)  $\Delta = 0.85\gamma$  and ii)  $\Delta = 0.90\gamma$ . Plot the two curves in the same figure using the computational tool of your choice.
- (c) As shown in the plots of (b), for a sufficiently large  $\Delta$  there are three steady states for certain  $F$ . Derive an analytical expression for the critical detuning  $\Delta_c$  needed to observe three steady-states at certain  $F$ . (Hint: think of  $\partial|F|^2/\partial N$ )
- (d) Here you will show through a linear stability analysis that, in the regime where three steady-states exist, only two of the three steady-states are stable. Hence, this regime is known as “bistability”. To make the stability analysis, follow this procedure:
- (i) Add a small fluctuation  $\delta\alpha$  to the steady-state solution, i.e. let  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \delta\alpha$ , and plug this perturbed solution in Eq. 6. Then, expand that resulting equation and retain only the terms which are linear in the fluctuation  $\delta\alpha$ . Show that the differential equation for the fluctuation  $\delta\alpha$  and its complex conjugate  $\delta\alpha^*$  can be written in matrix form:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \delta\alpha \\ \delta\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} \delta\alpha \\ \delta\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta - \frac{i\gamma}{2} + 2UN & U\alpha^2 \\ U\alpha^{*2} & -\Delta - \frac{i\gamma}{2} - 2UN \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

- (ii) Differential equations of this form have solutions  $\delta\alpha(t) = \boldsymbol{\eta}\exp^{-i\lambda t}$ , with  $\lambda$  the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . This implies that if there exists an eigenvalue for which  $\text{Im}(\lambda_j) \geq 0$ , then fluctuations will grow in time and hence the steady-state solution is unstable. Based on this, make the stability analysis of the steady-state solutions you found in part (b)(ii), and remake the plots now properly labeling the unstable solutions with a different color or line style.